

# Econometrics II

Fabian Waldinger (LMU Munich)

# OLS Using Matrix Notation

- In matrix notation the OLS regression equation can be written as:

$$y = \mathbf{X}\beta + \varepsilon$$

- which is short for:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{pmatrix}_{N \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1k} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{N1} & x_{N2} & \cdots & x_{Nk} \end{pmatrix}_{N \times k} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{pmatrix}_{k \times 1} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_N \end{pmatrix}_{N \times 1}$$

# Residuals and RSS

- Residual of observation  $i$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\varepsilon}_i &= y_i - [\hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_k x_{ik}] \\ &= y_i - \hat{y}_i \\ &= \text{"observed } y\text{"} - \text{"fitted value"}$$

- We obtain the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) estimator by minimizing the residual sum of squares (RSS)
- The sum of squared residuals (RSS) is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\varepsilon}_i^2 \text{ or in matrix notation } \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} = (y - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta})' (y - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta})$$

- where  $\hat{\varepsilon}$  is a  $N \times 1$  vector of residuals, by multiplying
- $\hat{\varepsilon}$  by its transpose we get the RSS

# Minimizing RSS - Matrix Notation

- In matrix notation the FOCs are:

$$-2 \underset{k \times N}{\mathbf{X}'} \underset{N \times 1}{\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}} = \underset{k \times 1}{\mathbf{0}}$$

- From the FOC we can derive the OLS estimator:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$$

- ① The true model is linear in parameters:

$$y = \mathbf{X}\beta + \varepsilon$$

- ① No Perfect Collinearity  
The matrix  $X$  has rank  $k$
- ② Zero Conditional Mean

$$E(\varepsilon|\mathbf{X}) = 0$$

# Unbiasedness of OLS

- These 3 assumptions need to hold to ensure that the OLS estimator is unbiased
- A particular focus is on GM assumption 3.:  $E(\varepsilon|X) = 0$
- There are also stronger or weaker versions of GM assumption 3
- Under stronger assumptions OLS is still unbiased and the weaker of assumption [ $\text{corr}(\varepsilon_i, x_{ik}) = 0$ ] we can show that OLS is consistent (but not unbiased)

# Proof that OLS is Unbiased

- We now plug in the true model for  $y$ :

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'[\mathbf{X}\beta + \varepsilon]$$

- This can be rewritten as:

$$= \beta + (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\varepsilon$$

- Taking expectations:

$$E(\hat{\beta} | \mathbf{X}) = \beta + E[(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\varepsilon | \mathbf{X}]$$

$$= \beta + (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'E[\varepsilon | \mathbf{X}]$$

$$= \beta$$

4.

$$\text{Var}(\varepsilon|\mathbf{X}) = \sigma^2 I$$

- This assumption implies two important characteristics:
  - ① No Heteroscedasticity
  - ② No Autocorrelation



# Variance-Covariance Matrix of OLS Estimator

- Under GM1-4 we can derive the variance-covariance matrix of the OLS estimator (note this is skipping some steps see lecture 2):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}|\mathbf{X}) &= E\{(\hat{\beta} - E[\hat{\beta}|\mathbf{X}])(\hat{\beta} - E[\hat{\beta}|\mathbf{X}])'|\mathbf{X}\} \\ &= E\{(\hat{\beta} - \beta)(\hat{\beta} - \beta)'|\mathbf{X}\} \\ &= E\{(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\varepsilon(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\varepsilon'|\mathbf{X}\} \\ &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'E\{\varepsilon\varepsilon'|\mathbf{X}\}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

- The Gauss Markov Theorem states that under GM1-4 the OLS estimator is the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE)
- We have shown before that under GM1-3 OLS is a linear unbiased estimator (LUE)
- We now show that it is the best, i.e. most efficient estimator
- It is only the best if  $\sigma^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$  is a smaller variance than the variance of alternative linear estimators

# Normality Assumption

- We add the final classical linear model assumption:  $\hat{\beta}$  has a multivariate normal distribution:

$$\varepsilon \mid \mathbf{X} \sim N \left( \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{GM5}}}{\mathbf{0}}, \sigma^2 \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{GM4}}}{\mathbf{I}} \right)$$

$N \times 1$                        $N \times 1$                        $N \times N$   
 $\uparrow$                        $\uparrow$                        $\uparrow$   
GM3                      GM4

- This implies:

$$\hat{\beta} \mid \mathbf{X} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1})$$

- This assumptions allows us to carry out hypothesis tests and construct confidence intervals
- While this is a strong assumption, it can be shown that if  $N \rightarrow \infty$  the distribution of the error term will converge to a Normal (proof not done in this course)

# Violations of GM-Assumptions

- GM2: OLS cannot be estimated (e.g. dummy variable trap)
- GM4: OLS is no longer most efficient estimator, s.e. are wrong but OLS remains unbiased/consistent

- Leading causes for violations of GM3:
  - ① Omitted variable bias
  - ② Measurement Error in X
  - ③ Simultaneity (next lecture)

# Omitted Variable Bias

- We can show that the omitted variable bias is:

$$E(\tilde{\beta}_2 | \mathbf{X}) = \beta_2 + \beta_3 \frac{\sum (x_{2i} - \bar{x}_2)(x_{3i} - \bar{x}_3)}{\sum (x_{2i} - \bar{x}_2)^2} = \beta_2 + \beta_3 \frac{\text{Cov}(x_2, x_3)}{\text{Var}(x_2)}$$

- Classical measurement error leads to attenuation bias
- With measurement error the plim of  $\hat{\beta}_2$  is:

$$\text{plim}\hat{\beta}_2 = \beta_2 \left( \frac{\sigma_Z^2}{\sigma_Z^2 + \sigma_w^2} \right)$$

- The last term in parentheses is  $< 1$
- If the measurement error is not classical (i.e.  $E(w) \neq 0$ ) OLS will also be biased

# How Do We Overcome Violations of GM3?

- Solutions for improving basic OLS regressions
  - add control variables (overcomes omitted variable bias)  
particularly powerful control variables are fixed effects (see panel data models)
  - get variables without measurement error
- Design identification strategies that directly address the violation of GM3
  - ① Randomize the variable of interest
  - ② Find quasi-random variation:
    - ① IV
    - ② DiD
    - ③ RD



# Randomized Experiments

- Randomized experiments address violations of GM3
- They can be evaluated with the following regression:

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 D_i + \varepsilon_i$$

- This will estimate the causal effect of the treatment because the treatment is randomly assigned

# Instrumental Variables

- IV also allows to address violations of GM3
- 2 important conditions for a valid IV:
  - ①  $Cov(S, Z) \neq 0$  (first stage exists)
  - ②  $Cov(Z, \varepsilon) = 0$  (exclusion restriction:  $Z$  is uncorrelated with any other determinants of the dependent variable)

- For a simple model with one regressor the IV estimator is:

$$\beta_2 = \frac{Cov(z, y)}{Cov(z, x)}$$

- Or in matrix notation:

$$\hat{\beta}^{IV} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y}$$

## IV Jargon

- Causal relationship of interest:

$$y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x + \varepsilon$$

- First-Stage regression:

$$x = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 z + \mu$$

- Second-Stage regression:

$$y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \hat{x} + v$$

- Reduced form:

$$y = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 z + v$$

# IV with Heterogeneous Treatment Effects

- When treatment effects are heterogeneous, one needs the following assumptions for IV:
  - ① Independence assumption:  
The IV is independent of the vector of potential outcomes and potential treatment assignments (i.e. as good as randomly assigned):
  - ② Exclusion restriction
  - ③ First stage
  - ④ Monotonicity  
Either  $\gamma_{1i} \geq 0$  for all  $i$  or  $\gamma_{1i} \leq 0$  for all  $i$

## IV Estimates LATE

- If all 4 assumptions are satisfied, IV estimates LATE (Local Average Treatment Effect)
- LATE is the average effect of  $X$  on  $Y$  for those whose treatment status has been changed by the instrument  $Z$  (the compliers)

- Models with panel data can be written as:

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it}\beta + c_i + u_{it}$$

- where  $\mathbf{x}_{it}$  has dimensions  $1 \times K$
- interest lies in estimating the  $K \times 1$  vector  $\beta$
- $c_i$  is unobserved and hence enters the estimation error if we just regress  $y$  on  $x$
- $u_{it}$  is an error term that satisfies GM3

- If  $c_i$  was independent of all explanatory variables in all time periods, there would be no violation of GM3 if we ignore the  $c_i$  component of the error term and simply regressed  $y$  on  $x$ :

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it}\beta + \underbrace{c_i + u_{it}}_{\nu_{it}}$$

- Panel data methods allow us to obtain consistent estimates of  $\beta$  even if  $c_i$  is not independent of all  $\mathbf{x}_{it}$
- We will cover two main methods for addressing the correlation of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $c_i$ 
  - ① Random effects
  - ② Fixed effects
    - ① within estimator
    - ② dummy variables
    - ③ first differences
- The methods differ on the assumptions that we make on the relationship between  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $c_i$

# Differences-in-Differences

- Differences-in-differences:

$$E[Y_{it} | i = \textit{Treat}, t = \textit{Post}] - E[Y_{it} | i = \textit{Treat}, t = \textit{Pre}]$$

$$-(E[Y_{it} | i = \textit{Control}, t = \textit{Post}]) - E[Y_{it} | i = \textit{Control}, t = \textit{Pre}]) = \delta$$

- Regression differences-in-differences:

$$\textit{Outcome}_{it} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \textit{Treat}_i + \beta_3 \textit{Post}_t + \beta_4 (\textit{Treat} * \textit{Post})_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

- Key assumption: the outcome in treatment and control group would follow the same time trend in the absence of the treatment



# Regression Discontinuity Design

- Regression discontinuity research designs exploit the fact that some rules are quite arbitrary and therefore provide good quasi-experiments if you compare people (or cities, firms, countries,...) who are just affected by the rule with people who are just not affected by the rule
- There are 2 types of RD designs:
  - ① Sharp RD: treatment is a deterministic function of a covariate  $x$
  - ② Fuzzy RD: exploits discontinuities in the probability of treatment conditional on a covariate  $x$  (the discontinuity is then used as an IV)
- RD captures the causal effect by distinguishing the nonlinear and discontinuous function,  $1(x_i \geq x_0)$  from the smooth function  $f(x_i)$

$$E[Y_{0i} | x_i] = \alpha + \beta x_i$$
$$Y_{1i} = Y_{0i} + \rho$$

- This leads to the regression:

$$Y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \rho D_i + \eta_i$$

- Key identifying assumption:

$E[Y_{0i} | x_i]$  and  $E[Y_{1i} | x_i]$  are continuous in  $x_i$  at  $x_0$

- Exam time will be 90 minutes
- 90 points in total (i.e. 1 point a minute)
- 3 Questions with sub questions
- All material from lecture slides is relevant
- You will also need to read/understand R code

# Practical Exam Tips

- Answer only the question!
- Don't write down material that is somewhat related but not needed to answer the question
- Write down the math and or graphs if it helps to answer the question
- Write as clearly as possible
- Don't talk about problems in generic terms "There is omitted variable bias" but be specific "Because  $w$  is omitted from the regression there will be an upward bias."
- Try to answer all sub-questions